

EXHIBIT D – COMPLIANCE MONITORING AND ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM

1.0 REGIONAL COMPLIANCE MONITORING AND ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM

1.1 Obligations of Texas Regional Entity

The Texas Regional Entity, a division of Electric Reliability Council of Texas, Inc. (Texas RE), will implement the NERC Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program (Appendix 4C to the NERC Rules of Procedure (NERC CMEP)) to monitor and enforce compliance with Reliability Standards by the owners, operators, and users within Texas RE's geographic boundaries set forth on **Exhibit A** of this Agreement, subject to any deviations from the NERC Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program described in Section 1.2 below (the "Compliance Program").

1.2 Deviations from the NERC Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program

A. Hearing Body. Texas RE will use the Public Utility Commission of Texas (PUCT) as its Hearing Body, and the PUCT (as Hearing Body) will issue recommendations to the Texas RE Chief Compliance Officer who will make final decisions following regional hearings of compliance matters. The PUCT has extensive experience in conducting contested case hearings and other adjudicatory proceedings in a manner that assures due process of law to all participants. Texas RE intends to rely upon the PUCT's experience and expertise in conducting the hearing process under the Delegation Agreement. Texas RE believes that it is more efficient and cost-effective to use existing PUCT procedures than to attempt to establish a redundant hearing process within Texas RE. The PUCT is uniquely well-positioned to perform this function for the ERCOT Region since electric utilities operating in the ERCOT Region do not synchronously interconnect with electric utilities operating outside of Texas, and ERCOT market participants have experience in participating in PUCT proceedings.

B. Public Hearings. The PUCT as Hearing Body will hold public hearings on all matters referred to it by the Texas RE for hearing and recommendation. The PUCT's performance of Hearing Body responsibilities is fully consistent with the NERC Rules of Procedure and with Section 39.7 of FERC Order 672, with the exception of Section 39.7(b)(4), which requires "[e]ach violation or alleged violation [to] be treated as nonpublic until the matter is filed with [FERC] as a notice of penalty or resolved by an admission ... or by a settlement or other negotiated disposition." Because the PUCT is a "governmental body" under the Texas Open Meetings Act (Texas Government Code § 551.002), the PUCT is required to conduct any deliberations and render a decision in a meeting that is open to the public. The Texas Open Meetings Act also requires that any evidence or other submissions concerning a PUCT hearing, except for information that is confidential or privileged under law, be publicly available. Texas Attorney General opinions have determined that the need to consider confidential information does not justify conducting a closed meeting or executive session. Although PUCT hearings, including those contemplated under this Exhibit D, are conducted as open meetings, steps are taken to prevent the disclosure of confidential information during the hearing process. Direct testimony in such cases is generally presented in written question and answer format, with any confidential information redacted, filed under seal and provided

to parties pursuant to a protective order. In hearings conducted under these rules, the Hearing Body shall use best efforts to avoid the inadvertent disclosure of confidential information. The Presiding Officer may use the following methods to protect confidential information, in addition to the entry of an appropriate protective order: (1) Requiring the aggregation of confidential information aggregated to eliminate its confidentiality; (2) Permitting or requiring the redaction of testimony where the non-public information is not material to the merits; (3) Closing the public hearings on a temporary basis to those not bound under the terms of any case-specific protective order in place while the specific, confidential data is the subject of testimony or argument; and (4) other reasonable means in the discretion of the Presiding Officer.

Under the Texas Public Utility Regulatory Act (PURA) §39.151(j), market participants in the ERCOT market are required to comply with all scheduling, operating, planning, reliability, and settlement policies, rules, guidelines, and procedures ERCOT establishes. The PUCT is given authority to enforce this obligation through the imposition of penalties, revocation of certifications or other means. In any enforcement proceeding under PURA, PUCT deliberations are conducted in an open meeting in accordance with the procedures outlined above. ERCOT is thus unlike other power regions that may be implementing an enforcement mechanism for the first time. The history of public availability of this information in the ERCOT power region argues in favor of the continued public availability of information considered in enforcement hearings the PUCT conducts as Hearing Body for the Texas RE.

Moreover, elsewhere in Order No. 672, FERC stated that: “If the ERO or a Regional Entity wishes to conduct a public investigation, enforcement audit or permit interventions when determining whether to impose a penalty, the ERO or the Regional Entity must receive advance authorization from the Commission.”¹

In response to Texas RE’s request to be permitted to hold public hearings as outlined herein, FERC issued *In the matter of Delegation Agreement Between the North American Electric Reliability Corporation and Texas Regional Entity, a division of ERCOT*, Docket No. RR07-1-000, *Order Accepting ERO Compliance Filing, Accepting ERO/Regional Entity Delegation Agreements and Accepting Regional Entity 2007 Business Plans*, 119 FERC 61,060 at ¶253 (Issued April 19, 2007)(Delegation Agreement Acceptance Order). The Delegation Agreement Acceptance Order provides for open hearings as requested.

C. Hearing Administration. PUCT, as Hearing Body, is authorized to hear cases and render its recommendations through the PUCT Commissioners. The Hearing Body is authorized to use the PUCT staff of Administrative Law Judges (ALJs) and other trained employees to establish the procedures and timelines that will be followed in the regional hearings, including the conduct of hearings and the preparation of draft recommendations. These presiding officers will not, however, have any authority to issue a final recommendation on any alleged violation. The ALJs and staff may preside over hearings before the PUCT, may establish the procedural schedule for these proceedings, take evidence, prepare a draft recommendation, and perform all tasks

¹ Order 672, ¶511.

delegated from the PUCT, except the final rendition and approval of the final recommendation to be provided to the Chief Compliance Officer.

D. Detailed Hearing Procedures. The details of the proposed Texas Regional Entity Regional Hearing Process are attached hereto as **Attachment 1 and Attachment 2**. **Attachment 1** consists of a summary of the NERC CMEP procedures that must be altered to accommodate Texas RE's request to have the PUCT act as its Hearing Body. **Attachment 1** is a summary of necessary revisions to Attachment 2 of the CMEP, and together with **Attachment 2** hereto and the incorporated PUCT Chapter 22 Procedural Rules, provides the details of the regional hearing process Texas RE has adopted.

In addition to the requested modifications to procedures set forth in Attachment 2 of the CMEP, Texas RE also varies from Section 5.5 of the main body of the CMEP, allowing the Chief Compliance Officer's decision (instead of the hearing body's decision) be appealed to NERC. This language is contained as subsection 9.2 of **Attachment 1**: "The Registered Entity may appeal the Chief Compliance Officer's decision to NERC, as provided for in NERC Rules of Procedure, Sections 407.3 and 410."

E. Regional Hearing of Compliance Matters. Texas RE shall establish the PUCT as the hearing body, with authority to conduct compliance hearings in which a Registered Entity may contest a finding of alleged violation, proposed penalty or sanction, or a proposed mitigation plan. The PUCT will issue a final recommendation to the Chief Compliance Officer rather than a final order.

1.3 Other Decision-Making Bodies.

Texas RE will not use other decision-making bodies within its compliance program.